# Oxford Academy School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year <br> Published During 2016-17 

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.


## DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

## Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

| School Contact Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| School Name | Oxford Academy |
| Street | 5172 Orange Avenue |
| City, State, Zip | Cypress, CA 90630 |
| Phone Number | (714) 220-3055 |
| Principal | Ron Hoshi |
| E-mail Address | hoshi_r@auhsd.us |
| Web Site | http://oxford.auhsd.us/ |
| CDS Code | 30664313030616 |


| District Contact Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| District Name | Anaheim Union High School District |
| Phone Number | (714) 999-3511 |
| Superintendent | Michael B. Matsuda |
| E-mail Address | webmaster@auhsd.us |
| Web Site | www.auhsd.us |

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

## School Mission Statement:

The mission of Oxford Academy is to prepare students to be ethically and academically focused for entrance into college. Oxford will provide students with a rigorous educational program in a collaborative setting, with emphasis on mastery of academics, critical thinking, effective communication skills, and character development.

Educational Highlights:
One hundred percent of Oxford Academy students are enrolled in courses that meet the University of California (UC) A-G requirements and $100 \%$ graduate with UC eligibility. For the senior class of $2016,87 \%$ matriculated to a four-year college, and $13 \%$ matriculated to a two-year college. At least $58 \%$ of Oxford Academy students are enrolled in Visual and Performing Arts classes. They also participate in intramural sports at the junior high level and CIF sports at the high school level. All Oxford Academy students participate in a comprehensive honors curriculum and are required to enroll in a minimum of four Advanced Placement (AP) courses to graduate. Additionally, students select a Career Technical Education (CTE) pathway: Software and Systems Development, Engineering, Business, or Biomedical Science pathway. Students in the eighth grade complete a reflective portfolio that is presented at student-led parent conferences during the fourth quarter. In February, each senior presents their culminating reflective portfolio to a small group of guest panelists. Oxford Academy offers the following support programs to help struggling students who are not meeting the required Grade Point Average (GPA): Learning Skills class with assigned high school tutors, before or after school tutoring, academic probation meetings with teachers and counselors, writing skills workshop, and mathematics support classes during the summer.

Student Achievement Data:
Oxford Academy students exceeded National and State Common Core Standards as measured by the Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium (SBAC), 100\% of the students tested met or exceeded the tested standards in ELA and $94 \%$ of the students tested met or exceeded the tested standards in math. All student groups are meeting the state school-wide targets in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics. Oxford Academy has achieved the rank of 10 (on a scale of 1 to 10) in both the Statewide Rank and the Similar School Rank. Oxford Academy ranks as the top performing school in Orange County and was named as a National Blue Ribbon School in 2013.

## Demographic Information:

Oxford Academy, located in Cypress, California, serves 1,206 students in grades seven through twelve. The ethnic composition of the student body is $56 \%$ Asian, $19 \%$ White, $15 \%$ Latino, $7 \%$ Filipino/Pacific Islander, $2 \%$ African American, and 1\% Native American. Fortyone percent of Oxford Academy students participate in the Free/Reduced Lunch program. Additionally, $54 \%$ of the student body is reclassified as fluent English proficient students and $70 \%$ of Oxford students come from homes in which English is not the primary language. Students' home languages are diverse with twenty-six different languages spoken.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

| Grade <br> Level | Number of <br> Students |
| :--- | :---: |
| Grade 7 | 209 |
| Grade 8 | 210 |
| Grade 9 | 211 |
| Grade 10 | 204 |
| Grade 11 | 189 |
| Grade 12 | 185 |
| Total Enrollment | 1,208 |

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

| Student <br> Group | Percent of <br> Total Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: |
| Black or African American | 1.7 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0.3 |
| Asian | 59.8 |
| Filipino | 7.9 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 17.5 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0.4 |
| White | 10 |
| Two or More Races | 2.3 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 35.5 |
| English Learners | 0.3 |
| Students with Disabilities | 0.4 |
| Foster Youth | 0 |

## A. Conditions of Learning

## State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

| Teachers | School |  |  | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ |  |  |  |  |
| With Full Credential | 43 | 43 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 1250 |
| Without Full Credential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential) | 1 | 2 | 0 | 59 |

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

| Indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 1 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments * | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

| Location of Classes |  | Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers |  |
| This School | 98.1 | 1.9 |  |
| All Schools in District | 98.0 | 2.0 |  |
| High-Poverty Schools in District | 98.2 | 1.8 |  |
| Low-Poverty Schools in District | 97.0 | 3.0 |  |

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)
Year and month in which data were collected: September 2016

All content subject areas utilize standards-aligned, State and District adopted textbooks and instructional materials. Teachers have input into the textbook selection process at the district-level through an established curriculum cycle. Teachers are given in-depth training on the instructional materials and the ancillary materials that accompany the basic textbook material. Ancillary materials support both the language needs and the learning needs of students.

This information was collected in September 2016.

| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ <br> Year of Adoption | From <br> Most Recent <br> Adoption? | Percent of Students <br> Lacking Own <br> Assigned Copy |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reading/Language Arts | English language arts textbooks, Houghton Mifflin <br> Harcourt-Collections, were adopted in 2014-15. <br> There is one textbook available per student. | Yes | 0 |
| Mathematics | Mathematics textbooks, by Carnegie Learning, were <br> adopted in 2014-15. Course appropriate, standards- <br> aligned instructional materials have been selected <br> for each mathematics course. There is one textbook <br> available per student. | Yes | 0 |
| Science | Science textbooks were adopted in 2006-07. There is <br> one textbook available per student. | Yes | 0 |
| History-Social Science | Yes |  | 0 |


| Subject | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption | From Most Recent Adoption? | Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foreign Language | Foreign language textbooks are adopted as needed by course. Several courses adopted new textbooks in 2015-16, and supplemental books continue to be adopted each year. The oldest textbooks used by some courses were adopted in 2003-04. There is one textbook available per student. | Yes | 0 |
| Health | Health textbooks were adopted in 2004-05. There is one textbook available per student. | Yes | 0 |
| Visual and Performing Arts | Visual and performing arts students have access to course appropriate textbooks and instructional materials. | Yes | 0 |
| Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12) | All science labs at Oxford Academy have the following equipment: tables with chemical-resistant tops, stools at lab tables, white boards/chalk boards for demonstrations, one complete set of glassware per classroom, linear measuring devices (meter sticks, $12^{\prime \prime} / 6^{\prime \prime}$ rulers, etc.), course appropriate charts, hot plates, triple-beam and/or top-loading balances, thermometers, overhead projector, LCD projector, ELMO projector, VCR/DVD player, and laser disc player. Most labs have additional equipment appropriate for the courses being taught in the room. | Yes | 0 |

## School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Oxford Academy is a seventh- through twelfth-grade college preparatory high school, which opened in September, 1998. The facility was originally built in 1965 and used as a junior high school. The 24 acre site includes 42 regular classrooms housed in six buildings. There are 13 labs, which are designed for specific programs (i.e. computer lab, science lab, choral music room, etc.) The site also includes a food service kitchen, a multi-purpose room, a library/media center, a gym and a variety of sports fields.

Maintenance and Repair: Site and District maintenance staff ensure that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule: The District's Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools. The administration works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. All classrooms and restrooms are cleaned daily. Deep cleaning, including waxing of floors and painting, takes place during times when students are not in classes. Students, parents, and staff are encouraged to report any objectionable conditions via a uniform complaint procedure.

Modernization and construction: Extensive modernization and new construction were completed in September, 2006. The project included eight new classrooms and a gym. Six campus buildings, which included 33 classroom spaces, were modernized. Oxford Academy also received a $\$ 100,000$ grant from Lowe's to provide major upgrades to the multipurpose room. New stage sound, lighting, and flooring were added, along with new conference tables, a mounted LCD projector, and a large electronic projector screen above the stage area.

The most recent site inspection was completed on September 21, 2016.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

| School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) <br> Year and month of the most recent FIT report: September 21, 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System Inspected | Repair Status |  |  | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
|  | Good | Fair | Poor |  |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | X |  |  |  |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | X |  |  | Hole in wall at northeast corner of theater. Repair work is in progress. |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | X |  |  |  |
| Electrical: Electrical | X |  |  |  |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | X |  |  |  |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | X |  |  |  |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | X |  |  |  |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | X |  |  |  |

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

| Year and month of the most recent FIT report: September 21, 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall Rating | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor |
|  | X |  |  |  |

## B. Pupil Outcomes

## State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

| Subject | Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  | District |  | State |  |
|  | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| English Language Arts/Literacy | 99 | 100 | 44 | 47 | 44 | 48 |
| Mathematics | 97 | 96 | 29 | 29 | 34 | 36 |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or Exceeded |
| All Students | 7 | 208 | 207 | 99.5 | 98.5 |
|  | 8 | 210 | 210 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 11 | 186 | 186 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Male | 7 | 102 | 101 | 99.0 | 98.0 |
|  | 8 | 99 | 99 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 11 | 80 | 80 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female | 7 | 106 | 106 | 100.0 | 99.1 |
|  | 8 | 111 | 111 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 11 | 106 | 106 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Black or African American | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Asian | 7 | 135 | 135 | 100.0 | 99.3 |
|  | 8 | 110 | 110 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 11 | 113 | 113 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Filipino | 7 | 13 | 13 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | 13 | 13 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 7 | 29 | 28 | 96.5 | 96.4 |
|  | 8 | 55 | 55 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 11 | 37 | 37 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| White | 7 | 22 | 22 | 100.0 | 95.5 |
|  | 8 | 28 | 28 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 11 | 13 | 13 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Two or More Races | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 7 | 56 | 56 | 100.0 | 96.4 |
|  | 8 | 77 | 77 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 11 | 76 | 76 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| English Learners | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Students with Disabilities | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |


| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or <br> Exceeded |
| Foster Youth | 7 | -- | -- | -- | - |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | - | - |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- |  |

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or Exceeded |
| All Students | 7 | 208 | 207 | 99.5 | 96.1 |
|  | 8 | 210 | 210 | 100.0 | 97.1 |
|  | 11 | 186 | 186 | 100.0 | 93.5 |
| Male | 7 | 102 | 101 | 99.0 | 98.0 |
|  | 8 | 99 | 99 | 100.0 | 98.0 |
|  | 11 | 80 | 80 | 100.0 | 98.8 |
| Female | 7 | 106 | 106 | 100.0 | 94.3 |
|  | 8 | 111 | 111 | 100.0 | 96.4 |
|  | 11 | 106 | 106 | 100.0 | 89.6 |
| Black or African American | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Asian | 7 | 135 | 135 | 100.0 | 98.5 |
|  | 8 | 110 | 110 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 11 | 113 | 113 | 100.0 | 98.2 |
| Filipino | 7 | 13 | 13 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | 13 | 13 | 100.0 | 92.3 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 7 | 29 | 28 | 96.5 | 82.1 |
|  | 8 | 55 | 55 | 100.0 | 94.5 |
|  | 11 | 37 | 37 | 100.0 | 83.8 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| White | 7 | 22 | 22 | 100.0 | 95.5 |


| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or Exceeded |
|  | 8 | 28 | 28 | 100.0 | 92.9 |
|  | 11 | 13 | 13 | 100.0 | 84.6 |
| Two or More Races | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 7 | 56 | 56 | 100.0 | 94.6 |
|  | 8 | 77 | 77 | 100.0 | 98.7 |
|  | 11 | 76 | 76 | 100.0 | 90.8 |
| English Learners | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Students with Disabilities | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Foster Youth | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 8 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 11 | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
|  | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Science (grades 5, 8, and 10) | 99 | 99 | 98 | 61 | 56 | 51 | 60 | 56 | 54 |

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

| Student <br> Group | Total <br> Enrollment | \# of Students <br> with Valid Scores | \% of Students <br> with Valid Scores | \% of Students <br> Proficient or <br> Advanced |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Students | 413 | 413 | 100.0 | 98.3 |
| Male | 189 | 189 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Female | 224 | 224 | 100.0 | 96.9 |
| Black or African American | 11 | 11 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Asian | 226 | 226 | 100.0 | 99.1 |
| Filipino | 29 | 29 | 100.0 | 96.6 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 91 | 91 | 100.0 | 95.6 |
| White | 47 | 47 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 163 | 163 | 100.0 | 96.9 |

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2015-16)

Oxford Academy has four career pathways that represent industries that suit the needs, interests, and demands of its diverse student body. During the 2015-16 school year courses were offered in the following career industry sectors: Engineering and Design; Health Science and Medical Technology; Information and Communication Technologies; and Marketing, Sales, and Services.

Our CTE pathway courses are designed using the CTE Model Curriculum Standards, ensuring that students are developing the key skills needed to succeed in both school and the workplace. All of our teachers are trained to provide the best possible instruction for all students, including those in CTE pathways, with a focus on universal design for learning, ensuring that all students can access the curriculum. Our district measures the success of our CTE programs by evaluating the graduation rate, A-G completion rate, postsecondary enrollment, and when possible the career placement of students. This data guides which CTE pathways are offered, as well as the alignment between curriculum and the needs of each industry sector. CTE teachers attend regional advisory boards for each industry sector to stay up to date on the latest trends, skills desired of employers, employment outlook, and post-secondary programs related to the industry sector. These advisory boards are provided by Vital Link, a local non-profit organization that connects businesses with school districts, ensuring that the knowledge gained at the advisory boards represents the surrounding region.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2015-16)

| Measure | CTE Program <br> Participation |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of pupils participating in CTE | 457 |
| $\%$ of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma | $100 \%$ |
| $\%$ of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education | $100 \%$ |

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

| UC/CSU Course Measure | Percent |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2015-16 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | 100 |
| 2014-15 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission | 95.0 |

## State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

| Grade <br> Level | Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Four of Six Standards | Five of Six Standards | Six of Six Standards |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 21.3 | 47.8 | 20.8 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 12 | 49.5 | 34.4 |

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## C. Engagement

## State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.


## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

There are a number of ways Oxford Academy promotes a family-friendly environment. PTSA, OA Foundation, School Site Council, and booster groups for sports and music are active organizations on our campus. PTSA provides parent volunteers for school functions, weekly office assistants, and field trips. The OA Foundation's mission is to provide financial resources and assistance to promote the educational advancement and success of our students. Financial support is generated through the Direct Investment Drive and by partnering with various groups in the community. School Site Council (SSC) membership is composed of elected parents, students, and school staff. The SSC oversees and monitors the spending of supplemental state and federal funds, in which the intended purpose is to enhance academic achievement outcomes. The counseling staff conducts parent workshops and facilitates family conferences throughout the school year. TImely information is disseminated to parents through Naviance, the school website, and the TeleParent/Blackboard Connect phone system.

## State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Pupil Engagement State Priority (Priority 5):

- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

| Indicator | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 |
| Dropout Rate | 1.30 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 8.60 | 8.60 | 7.30 | 11.40 | 11.50 | 10.70 |
| Graduation Rate | 98.09 | 99.40 | 100.00 | 84.34 | 84.81 | 87.31 | 80.44 | 80.95 | 82.27 |

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2015 (One-Year Rate)

| Group | Graduating Class of 2015 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State |
| All Students | 100 | 86 | 86 |
| Black or African American | 0 | 86 | 78 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 69 | 78 |
| Asian | 100 | 96 | 93 |
| Filipino | 100 | 94 | 93 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 100 | 83 | 83 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0 | 82 | 85 |
| White | 100 | 87 | 91 |
| Two or More Races | 100 | 90 | 89 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 0 | 63 | 66 |
| English Learners | 0 | 53 | 54 |
| Students with Disabilities | 96 | 80 | 78 |

## State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.


## Suspensions and Expulsions

| Rate | School |  |  | District |  |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Suspensions | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.6 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Expulsions | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

## School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

School Safety Plans are reviewed and updated on an annual basis. Input is gathered from staff, students and community resource groups along with the School Site Council in order to determine any needed changes. The Oxford Academy Safety Plan is implemented by staff members. No less than four safety/evacuation drills are conducted throughout the school year. Students, teachers, and other faculty members are required to participate in these drills. The main components focus on safety procedures and where students and staff are to report in case of any type of evacuation emergency. All staff are given specific duties and locations to report to, and a map of where students are to report by cluster classes. Lockdown procedures are presented in a handout and reviewed, and then forms and procedures for taking attendance during an emergency are fully reviewed annually. Emergency drills are conducted at various times throughout the school year to practice procedures that will insure maximum student and staff safety. Additionally, Oxford Academy is staffed with a full-time security officer who supervises the outside areas of the campus daily from 9:30 am to 5:00 pm.

## D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.
Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

| Indicator | School | District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Program Improvement Status |  | In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement |  | $2008-2009$ |
| Year in Program Improvement* |  | Year 3 |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 13 |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 100.0 |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.
Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

| Subject | 2013-14 |  |  |  | 2014-15 |  |  |  | 2015-16 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Avg. <br> Class <br> Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  | Avg. Class Size | Number of Classrooms |  |  |
|  |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |  | 1-22 | 23-32 | 33+ |
| English | 25 | 15 | 11 | 22 | 23 | 21 | 12 | 22 | 23 | 21 | 12 | 22 |
| Mathematics | 29 | 8 | 8 | 25 | 31 | 6 | 7 | 26 | 31 | 6 | 7 | 26 |
| Science | 34 | 1 | 12 | 24 | 35 | 1 | 9 | 30 | 35 | 1 | 9 | 30 |
| Social Science | 28 | 9 | 9 | 21 | 27 | 12 | 6 | 23 | 27 | 12 | 6 | 23 |

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

| Title | Number of FTE <br> Assigned to School | Average Number of Students per <br> Academic Counselor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Academic Counselor | 3 | 402 |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | 0.05 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | 1 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Psychologist | 1 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Social Worker | 0.05 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Nurse | 0.16 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 0.1 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Resource Specialist | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Other | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.
*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

| Level | Expenditures Per Pupil |  |  | Average <br> Teacher <br> Salary |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Supplemental/ <br> Restricted | Basic/ <br> Unrestricted | ( |
| School Site | $\$ 9,014$ | $\$ 1,206$ | $\$ 7,808$ | $\$ 90,364$ |
| District | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\$ 8,344$ | $\$ 90,749$ |
| Percent Difference: School Site and District | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | -6.4 | -0.4 |
| State | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\$ 5,677$ | $\$ 77,824$ |
| Percent Difference: School Site and State | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 37.5 | 16.1 |

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

## Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Funds generated from OA FOundation's Direct Investment Drive are used to provide a week-long summer orientation for incoming seventh graders, provide extended hours for the school library to be open from 7:00 am until 4:30 pm daily, and to provide both mathematics and writing workshops in the summer for academic support and enrichment. Title II funds pay for teachers to attend the College Board Advanced Placement summer training and to pay for teacher release time to refine curriculum maps, assessments, and course alignment.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

| Category | District Amount | State Average for Districts In Same Category |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | $\$ 49,833$ | $\$ 46,184$ |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | $\$ 90,682$ | $\$ 75,179$ |
| Highest Teacher Salary | $\$ 104,163$ | $\$ 96,169$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Elementary) |  | $\$ 124,243$ |
| Average Principal Salary (Middle) | $\$ 133,509$ | $\$ 137,939$ |
| Average Principal Salary (High) | $\$ 138,901$ | $\$ 217,637$ |
| Superintendent Salary | $\$ 225,500$ | $35 \%$ |
| Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries | $38 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries | $4 \%$ |  |

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries \& Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2015-16)

| Subject | Number of AP Courses Offered* | Percent of Students In AP Courses |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Computer Science | 1 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| English | 2 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Fine and Performing Arts | 1 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Foreign Language | 2 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Mathematics | 3 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Science | 5 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Social Science | 6 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| All courses | 20 | $32.4 \%$ |

Cells with N/A values do not require data.
*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Teachers participate in a variety of District in-services as well as professional development workshops and conferences to enhance their knowledge and instructional skills. This is in addition to two non-student days and weekly late-start meetings, which are scheduled each year for professional learning activities. Each year, at least twenty days are designated for focused and site-specific professional development. Vertical teaming has been the focus of Oxford's on-site professional development for the following departments: English, foreign language, mathematics, science, and social science. Oxford implements a weekly late-start day on Monday mornings to provide a set time for collaboration and professional development. The faculty meets once a month to work on effective instructional strategies. Departments have twelve designated Mondays to analyze and revise curriculum maps and common assessments. Teachers meet in grade-alike groups on six different Mondays; and four Mondays are designated for teachers to work on grades, conduct parent/student meetings, and/or meet with colleagues. Monday late-start days provide teachers with 75 minutes weekly of focused time for collaboration, to reflect on effective and "best" practices, develop benchmark assessments, and to address student needs.

The District provides each school site with an in-house Lesson Design Specialist. This is a teacher on staff who coaches/mentors colleagues and leads staff development sessions on using research-based instructional strategies and designing lessons based on best practices for all learners. The instructional focus at Oxford Academy has been posting daily content and language objectives, literacy across the content areas, implementing Accountable Talk, and using a variety of formative assessments to consistently check students' learning throughout lesson presentations.

The Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program also provides opportunities for professional development to new teachers. The District continues to train teachers in the use of strategies to deliver a differentiated curriculum with depth and complexity. Teachers learn to utilize student assessment results through "Illuminate" in order to target instruction to better meet the individual needs of students. All District staff members are supported in their efforts to deliver highly effective lessons.

Classified staff members have many opportunities to participate in District sponsored training designed to enhance their effectiveness with students.

